AO 472 (Rev. 09/08) Detention Order Pending Trial - MIWD (Rev. 10/09)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	v. Adam James Fitzpatrick	Case No. 1:15-mj-00169-ESC
	Defendant	
	ter conducting a detention hearing under t fendant be detained pending trial.	he Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
		Part I – Findings of Fact
		described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of al offense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 which the prison term is 10 years or	U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for more.
	an offense for which the maximum s	sentence is death or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum pri	son term of ten years or more is prescribed in:
	a felony committed after the defended U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or compared to the committed after the defended by the committed by the committed after the defended by the committed by the co	ant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 arable state or local offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of viole a minor victim	ence but involves:
		of a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon er 18 U.S.C. § 2250
	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.	
	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the offense described in finding (1).	
		able presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another at defendant has not rebutted that presumption.
		Alternative Findings (A)
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the	e defendant has committed an offense
-	for which a maximum prison term of Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S. under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
	The defendant has not rebutted the presu	mption established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of condition bearance and the safety of the community.
		Alternative Findings (B)
	There is a serious risk that the defendant	
(2)		will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
		tement of the Reasons for Detention
	nd that the testimony and information sub a preponderance of the evidence tha	mitted at the detention hearing establishes by <u>✓</u> clear and convincing t:
	dant waived his detention hearing, electing dant may bring the issue of his continuing	g not to contest detention at this time. detention to the court's attention should his circumstances change.
	Part III -	- Directions Regarding Detention
corrections appeal. TI States Co.	s facility separate, to the extent practicable ne defendant must be afforded a reasonal urt or on request of an attorney for the Gov to the United States marshal for a court a	of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a se, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending ble opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United vernment, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the ppearance. **Iddge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody**
<u></u>		ago o oignaturo. The man and a

Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge